

May 16, 2023
Kotaro Yamada
kotaro@math.titech.ac.jp

Info. Sheet 4; Advanced Topics in Geometry E1 (MTH.B505)

Addendum

- In Lemma 3.5, the assumption that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ to be non-degenerate is essential. Otherwise, $m + r \leq n$ holds, in general.
- The fourth subproblem in Problem 3-1, the assumption $\det A = 1$ is not necessary. In fact, the property $a_{00} \geq 1$ is sufficient to show the the upper-half component of the two-sheeted hyperboloid is mapped to itself. The condition of positive determinant is that guarantee the map preserves the **orientation** of the surface.

Corrections

- Lecture Note page 7, line 2 of Example 2.23: $T_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbb{E}^{n+1} \Rightarrow T_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbb{E}^{n+r}, \mathbb{E}^{n+1} \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}^{n+r}$ (2 times)
- Lecture Note page 9, line 5: (resp. $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle > 0$) \Rightarrow (resp. $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle < 0$)
- Lecture Note page 9, line 3 of Example 3.3: liner \Rightarrow **linear**
- Lecture Note page 9, the first line of Lemma 3.5: *The subspace* \Rightarrow *For an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, the subspace*
- Lecture Note page 9, the first line of Lemma 3.5: (resp. \cdot) \Rightarrow (resp. r)
- Lecture Note page 10, line 5 of Definition 3.9: sooth \Rightarrow **smooth**

Q and A

Q 1: Ex 3-2 を解く過程で, ${}^tEAE = A$ (注: $E = [e_0, e_1, e_2]$ の式が Ex 3-1 の (2, 1) の定義式と同じであることに気がつきました. ³ の正規直交基底を並べたものが (2, 1) になるのでしょうか. 今後確かめたいと思います.

A: そうです.