

5 The Sectional Curvature

5.1 Preliminaries

Exterior products of tangent vectors. Let V be an n -dimensional vector space ($1 \leq n < \infty$) and denote by V^* its dual. Then $(V^*)^*$ can be naturally identified with V itself. In fact,

$$I : V \ni \mathbf{v} \mapsto I_{\mathbf{v}} \in (V^*)^* := \{A : V^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}; \text{linear}\}, \quad I_{\mathbf{v}}(\alpha) := \alpha(\mathbf{v})$$

is a linear map with trivial kernel. Then I is an isomorphism because $\dim(V^*)^* = \dim V$.

We denote by $\wedge^2 V := \wedge^2(V^*)^*$ the set of skew-symmetric bilinear forms on V^* . For vectors $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in V$, the *exterior product* of them is an element of $\wedge^2 V$ defined as

$$(\mathbf{v} \wedge \mathbf{w})(\alpha, \beta) := \alpha(\mathbf{v})\beta(\mathbf{w}) - \alpha(\mathbf{w})\beta(\mathbf{v}) \quad (\alpha, \beta \in V^*).$$

For a basis $[\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n]$ on V ,

$$(5.1) \quad \{\mathbf{e}_i \wedge \mathbf{e}_j; 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$$

is a basis of $\wedge^2 V$. In particular $\dim \wedge^2 V = \frac{1}{2}n(n-1)$. When V is a vector space endowed with an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and $[\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n]$ is an orthonormal basis, there exists the unique inner product, which is also denoted by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, of $\wedge^2 V$ such that (5.1) is an orthonormal basis. This definition of the inner product does not depend on choice of orthonormal bases of V . In fact, take another orthonormal basis $[\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n]$ related with $[\mathbf{e}_j]$ by

$$[\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n] = [\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n]\Theta \quad \Theta = (\theta_i^j) \in O(n).$$

Since $\Theta^T = \Theta^{-1}$, $[\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n] = [\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n]\Theta^T$ holds. Hence

$$\mathbf{v}_s \wedge \mathbf{v}_t = \left(\sum_i \theta_s^i \mathbf{e}_i \right) \wedge \left(\sum_j \theta_t^j \mathbf{e}_j \right) = \sum_{i,j} \theta_s^i \theta_t^j (\mathbf{e}_i \wedge \mathbf{e}_j) = \sum_{i < j} (\theta_s^i \theta_t^j - \theta_s^j \theta_t^i) (\mathbf{e}_i \wedge \mathbf{e}_j),$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{v}_s \wedge \mathbf{v}_t, \mathbf{v}_u \wedge \mathbf{v}_v \rangle &= \sum_{i < j, k < l} (\theta_s^i \theta_t^j - \theta_s^j \theta_t^i) (\theta_k^u \theta_l^v - \theta_l^u \theta_k^v) \langle \mathbf{e}_i \wedge \mathbf{e}_j, \mathbf{e}_k \wedge \mathbf{e}_l \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i < j, k < l} (\theta_s^i \theta_t^j - \theta_s^j \theta_t^i) (\theta_k^u \theta_l^v - \theta_l^u \theta_k^v) \delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} = \sum_{i < j} (\theta_s^i \theta_t^j - \theta_s^j \theta_t^i) (\theta_i^u \theta_j^v - \theta_j^u \theta_i^v) \\ &= \sum_{i < j} (\theta_s^i \theta_j^t \theta_i^u \theta_j^v - \theta_j^s \theta_i^t \theta_i^u \theta_j^v - \theta_i^s \theta_j^t \theta_j^u \theta_i^v + \theta_j^s \theta_i^t \theta_j^u \theta_i^v) \\ &= \sum_{i < j} \theta_i^s \theta_j^t \theta_i^u \theta_j^v + \sum_{i < j} \theta_j^s \theta_i^t \theta_i^u \theta_j^v - \sum_{i > j} \theta_j^s \theta_i^t \theta_i^u \theta_j^v + \sum_{i > j} \theta_i^s \theta_j^t \theta_i^u \theta_j^v \\ &= \sum_{i \neq j} \theta_i^s \theta_j^t \theta_i^u \theta_j^v - \sum_{i \neq j} \theta_j^s \theta_i^t \theta_i^u \theta_j^v \\ &= \sum_{i,j} (\theta_i^s \theta_j^t \theta_i^u \theta_j^v - \theta_j^s \theta_i^t \theta_i^u \theta_j^v) - \sum_i (\theta_i^s \theta_i^t \theta_i^u \theta_i^v - \theta_i^s \theta_i^t \theta_i^u \theta_i^v) \\ &= \delta^{su} \delta^{tv} - \delta^{tu} \delta^{sv} \end{aligned}$$

because $\sum_i \theta_i^s \theta_i^t = \delta^{st}$. So, if $s < t$ and $u < v$, the second term of the right-hand side vanishes. That is, $\{\mathbf{v}_s \wedge \mathbf{v}_t; s < t\}$ is an orthonormal basis as well as $\{\mathbf{e}_i \wedge \mathbf{e}_j; i < j\}$ is.

Symmetric bilinear forms. Let V be a real vector space. A bilinear map $q: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be *symmetric* if $q(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = q(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v})$ for all $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in V$.

Lemma 5.1. *Two symmetric bilinear forms q and q' coincide with each other if and only if $q(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}) = q'(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v})$ hold for all $\mathbf{v} \in V$.*

Proof. By symmetricity, $q(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2}(q(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}) - q(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}) - q(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}))$ holds. \square

5.2 Sectional Curvature

Let U be a domain on a Riemannian n -manifold (M, g) , and $[\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n]$ an orthonormal frame on U . Denote by $(\omega^j)_{j=1, \dots, n}$, $\Omega = (\omega_i^j)_{i, j=1, \dots, n}$ and $K = (\kappa_i^j)_{i=1, \dots, n} := d\Omega + \Omega \wedge \Omega$ the dual frame, the connection form and the curvature form with respect to the frame $[\mathbf{e}_j]$. Then Lemma 3.17 and Definition 4.9, we have

$$(5.2) \quad d\omega^j = \sum_l \omega^l \wedge \omega_l^j, \quad \kappa_i^j = d\omega_i^j + \sum_l \omega_l^j \wedge \omega_i^l.$$

Since Ω is a one form valued in the skew-symmetric matrices, so is K :

$$(5.3) \quad \omega_i^j = -\omega_j^i, \quad \kappa_i^j = -\kappa_j^i.$$

Proposition 5.2 (The first Bianchi identity). $\kappa_j^i(\mathbf{e}_k, \mathbf{e}_l) + \kappa_k^i(\mathbf{e}_l, \mathbf{e}_j) + \kappa_l^i(\mathbf{e}_j, \mathbf{e}_k) = 0$.

Proof. By (5.2) and (3.11),

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= dd\omega^i = d\left(\sum_s \omega^s \wedge \omega_s^i\right) = \sum_s (d\omega^s \wedge \omega_s^i - \omega^s \wedge \omega_s^i) \\ &= \sum_s \left(\sum_m (\omega^m \wedge \omega_m^s) \wedge \omega_s^i - \omega^s \wedge \left(\kappa_s^i - \sum_m \omega_m^i \wedge d\omega_s^m\right)\right) \\ &= \sum_{s,m} \omega^m \wedge \omega_m^s \wedge \omega_s^i + \sum_{s,m} \omega^s \wedge \omega_m^i \wedge \omega_s^m - \sum_s \omega^s \wedge \kappa_s^i \\ &= \sum_{s,m} \omega^m \wedge (\omega_m^s \wedge \omega_s^i + \omega_s^i \wedge \omega_m^s) - \sum_s \omega^s \wedge \kappa_s^i = -\sum_s \omega^s \wedge \kappa_s^i. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_s (\omega^s \wedge \kappa_s^i)(\mathbf{e}_j, \mathbf{e}_k, \mathbf{e}_l) = \sum_s (\omega^s(\mathbf{e}_j)\kappa_s^i(\mathbf{e}_k, \mathbf{e}_l) + \omega^s(\mathbf{e}_k)\kappa_s^i(\mathbf{e}_l, \mathbf{e}_j) + \omega^s(\mathbf{e}_l)\kappa_s^i(\mathbf{e}_j, \mathbf{e}_k)) \\ &= \sum_s (\delta_j^s \kappa_s^i(\mathbf{e}_k, \mathbf{e}_l) + \delta_k^s \kappa_s^i(\mathbf{e}_l, \mathbf{e}_j) + \delta_l^s \kappa_s^i(\mathbf{e}_j, \mathbf{e}_k)) \\ &= \kappa_j^i(\mathbf{e}_k, \mathbf{e}_l) + \kappa_k^i(\mathbf{e}_l, \mathbf{e}_j) + \kappa_l^i(\mathbf{e}_j, \mathbf{e}_k), \end{aligned}$$

proving the assertion. \square

Corollary 5.3. $\kappa_j^i(\mathbf{e}_k, \mathbf{e}_l) = \kappa_l^k(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j)$.

Proof. By Proposition 5.2,

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_j^i(\mathbf{e}_k, \mathbf{e}_l) + \kappa_k^i(\mathbf{e}_l, \mathbf{e}_j) + \kappa_l^i(\mathbf{e}_j, \mathbf{e}_k) &= 0 \\ \kappa_k^j(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_l) + \kappa_i^j(\mathbf{e}_l, \mathbf{e}_k) + \kappa_l^j(\mathbf{e}_k, \mathbf{e}_i) &= 0 \\ \kappa_i^k(\mathbf{e}_j, \mathbf{e}_l) + \kappa_j^k(\mathbf{e}_l, \mathbf{e}_i) + \kappa_l^k(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Summing up these and noticing $\kappa_i^j = -\kappa_j^i$, we have the conclusion. \square

A quadratic form induced from the curvature form. We fix a point $p \in U$. Under the notation above, we can define a bilinear map

$$(5.4) \quad \mathbf{K}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\eta}) := \sum_{i < j, k < l} \kappa_i^j(e_k, e_l) \xi^{kl} \eta^{ij}, \quad \boldsymbol{\xi} = \sum_{k < l} \xi^{kl} e_k \wedge e_l, \quad \boldsymbol{\eta} = \sum_{i < j} \eta^{ij} e_i \wedge e_j$$

on $\wedge^2 T_p M$, where $e_j, \kappa_i^j \dots$ are considered tangent vectors, 2-forms at the fixed point p . In fact, one can show that the definition (5.4) is independent of choice of orthonormal frames. As an immediate conclusion of Corollary 5.3, we have

Lemma 5.4. \mathbf{K} is symmetric.

Hence, taking Lemma 5.1 into an account, we define the sectional curvature as follows:

Definition 5.5. Let $\Pi_p \subset T_p M$ be a 2-dimensional linear subspace in $T_p M$. The *sectional curvature* of (M, g) with respect to the plane Π_p is a number

$$K(\Pi_p) := \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{v} \wedge \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v} \wedge \mathbf{w}),$$

where $\{\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}\}$ is an orthonormal basis of Π_p

Remark 5.6. For (not necessarily orthonormal) basis $\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}\}$ of Π_p , the sectional curvature is expressed as

$$K(\Pi_p) = \frac{\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{x} \wedge \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} \wedge \mathbf{y})}{\langle \mathbf{x} \wedge \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} \wedge \mathbf{y} \rangle},$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ of the right-hand side is the inner product of $\wedge^2 T_p M$ induced from the Riemannian metric.

Remark 5.7. The sectional curvature is a scalar corresponding to a 2-plane in the tangent space $T_p M$. Hence it can be considered as a function of 2-Grassmanian bundle induced from the tangent bundle TM .

5.3 Curvature Tensor

Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold and ∇ the Levi-Civita connection. Define a trilinear map

$$(5.5) \quad R: \mathfrak{X}(M) \times \mathfrak{X}(M) \times \mathfrak{X}(M) \ni (X, Y, Z) \mapsto R(X, Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M).$$

By the properties Lemma 3.6 of the connection and the property (3.4) of the Lie bracket, the following Lemma is obvious.

Lemma 5.8. For any function $f \in \mathcal{F}(M)$ and vector fields $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$,

$$R(fX, Y)Z = R(X, fY)Z = R(X, Y)(fZ) = fR(X, Y)Z$$

holds.

Corollary 5.9. Assume the vector fields X, Y, Z and $\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z} \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ satisfy $X_p = \tilde{X}_p, Y_p = \tilde{Y}_p$ and $Z_p = \tilde{Z}_p$ for a point $p \in M$. Then

$$(R(X, Y)Z)_p = (R(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})\tilde{Z})_p.$$

In other words, R in (5.5) induces a trilinear map

$$R_p: T_p M \times T_p M \times T_p M \rightarrow T_p M.$$

Definition 5.10. A trilinear map $R(X, Y)Z$ is called the *curvature tensor* of (M, g) . In addition, a quadrilinear map

$$R(X, Y, Z, T) = \langle R(X, Y)Z, T \rangle : \mathfrak{X}(M)^4 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(M)$$

is also called the *curvature tensor*. In fact, $R \in \Gamma(T^*M \otimes T^*M \otimes T^*M \otimes T^*M)$, that is R is $(0, 4)$ -tensor field, because R induces a quadrilinear map

$$R: (T_p M)^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

for each $p \in M$.

Lemma 5.11. Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be an orthonormal frame on a domain $U \subset M$, and $K = (\kappa_i^j)$ the curvature form with respect to the frame. Then it holds that

$$\kappa_i^j(X, Y) = R(X, Y, e_i, e_j)$$

for each (i, j) .

So by (5.3), Proposition 5.2, Corollary 5.3 yield

Proposition 5.12.

- $R(X, Y, Z, T) = -R(Y, X, Z, T) = -R(X, Y, T, Z)$,
- $R(X, Y, Z, T) + R(Y, Z, X, T) + R(Z, X, Y, T) = 0$,
- $R(X, Y, Z, T) = R(Z, T, X, Y)$.

Moreover, the sectional curvature $K(\Pi_p)$ in Definition 5.5 is computed by

$$(5.6) \quad K(\Pi_p) = \frac{R(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x})}{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle^2}.$$

Exercises

5-1 Consider a Riemannian metric

$$g = dr^2 + \{\varphi(r)\}^2 d\theta^2 \quad \text{on} \quad U := \{(r, \theta); 0 < r < r_0, -\pi < \theta < \pi\},$$

where $r_0 \in (0, +\infty]$ and φ is a positive smooth function defined on $(0, r_0)$ with

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +0} \varphi(r) = 0, \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow +0} \frac{\varphi(r)}{r} = 1.$$

Classify the function φ so that g is of constant sectional curvature.

5-2 Let $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be an embedded submanifold endowed with the Riemannian metric induced from the canonical Euclidean metric of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . Then the position vector $\mathbf{x}(p)$ of $p \in M$ induces a smooth map

$$\mathbf{x}: M \ni p \mapsto \mathbf{x}(p) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1},$$

which is an $(n+1)$ -tuple of C^∞ -functions. Let $[e_1, \dots, e_n]$ be an orthonormal frame defined on a domain $U \subset M$. Since $T_p M \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, we can consider that e_j is a smooth map from $U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Take a dual basis (ω^j) to $[e_j]$. Prove that

$$d\mathbf{x} = \sum_{j=1}^n e_j \omega^j$$

holds on U . Here, we regard that $d\mathbf{x}$ is an $(n+1)$ -tuple of differential forms and e_j is an \mathbb{R}^{n+1} -valued function for each j .