### Advanced Topics in Geometry A1 (MTH.B405)

The fundamental theorem for surfaces

Kotaro Yamada kotaro@math.sci.isct.ac.jp http://www.official.kotaroy.com/class/2025/geom-a1

Institute of Science Tokyo

2025/05/30

## The Gauss-Weingarten formulas

$$p = p(u^1, u^2)$$
: a parametrized surface  
 $\nu = \nu(u^1, u^2)$ : the unit normal vector field  
 $\mathcal{F} = (p_{,1}, p_{,2}, \nu)$ : the Gauss Frame

The Gauss-Weingarten formula:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial u^j} = \mathcal{F}\Omega_j \qquad (j = 1, 2)$$

# The Gauss and Codazzi equations

$$h_{11,2} - h_{21,1} = \sum_{j} \left( \Gamma_{21}^{j} h_{1j} - \Gamma_{11}^{j} h_{2j} \right)$$
$$h_{12,2} - h_{22,1} = \sum_{j} \left( \Gamma_{22}^{j} h_{1j} - \Gamma_{12}^{j} h_{2j} \right)$$
$$K_{ds^{2}} = \frac{1}{g} (h_{11}h_{22} - h_{12}h_{21}) (= K)$$

## Exercise 5-1

#### Problem (Ex. 5-1)

Assume L = N = 0, that is,  $II = 2M du dv = 2h_{12} du^1 du^2$ , Prove that, if the Gaussian curvature K is negative constant,

$$E_v = G_u = 0,$$
 that is,  $g_{11,2} = g_{22,1} = 0.$ 

# ${\sf Q} \mbox{ and } {\sf A}$

Q: I could see in problem 5-1 that E and G are functions of u and v, respectively, but the geometrical meaning is not clear, even with the assumptions of the problem. The assumption of problem 5-1 seems to be a very strong, but not much can be said about its geometrical properties, can it? Can you say much about the geometrical properties?

### Exercise 5-2

Problem (Ex. 5-2)

Assume F = 0 and  $E = G = e^{2\sigma}$ , where  $\sigma$  is a function in (u, v). Let z = u + iv  $(i = \sqrt{-1})$  and define a complex-valued function q in z by

$$q(z) := \frac{L(u, v) - N(u, v)}{2} - iM(u, v).$$

Prove that the Codazzi equations are equivalent to

$$\frac{\partial q}{\partial \bar{z}} = e^{2\sigma} \frac{\partial H}{\partial z},$$

where H is the mean curvature, and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial u} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \right), \qquad \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \right).$$

# Q and A

Q: I could see that the calculations would show the conclusion, but where did you come up with this q(z) or whatever it is? Is it a function with a different computational or geometric meaning behind it?