

6 The fundamental theorem for surfaces

6.1 The statement

Let U be a domain of u^1u^2 -plane and let

$$(6.1) \quad \hat{I} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} \\ g_{21} & g_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{II} = \begin{pmatrix} h_{11} & h_{12} \\ h_{21} & h_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

be two symmetric matrices whose components are real-valued C^∞ -functions on U . In addition, assume

$$(6.2) \quad g_{11} > 0, \quad g_{22} > 0, \quad \text{and} \quad g_{11}g_{22} - g_{12}g_{21} > 0$$

hold on U . In other words, \hat{I} is a positive-definite matrix at each point on U . Define

$$(6.3) \quad \Gamma_{ij}^k = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^2 g^{kl} (g_{kj,i} + g_{ik,j} - g_{ij,k}), \quad A_j^i = \sum_{l=1}^2 g^{il} h_{lj}$$

where $(g^{ij}) = (g_{ij})^{-1}$ is the inverse matrix of (g_{ij}) .

Theorem 6.1 (The fundamental theorem for surface theory). *Assume U is simply connected, and (g_{ij}) and (h_{ij}) satisfy the Gauss equation (5.4) and the Codazzi equations (5.2)–(5.3) in the previous section. Then there exists a regular surface $p: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ such that*

- the first fundamental form of p is $ds^2 = \sum_{i,j} g_{ij} du^i du^j$,
- the second fundamental form of p with respect to the unit normal vector field $\nu := (p_{,1} \times p_{,2})/|p_{,1} \times p_{,2}|$ coincides with $II = \sum_{i,j} h_{ij} du^i du^j$.

Moreover, such a surface p is unique up to a transformation

$$p \mapsto Rp + \mathbf{a}, \quad R \in \text{SO}(3), \quad \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

6.2 Uniqueness

Here we shall prove the uniqueness part of Theorem 6.1. Let p and \tilde{p} be regular surfaces in \mathbb{R}^3 defined on a domain U of u^1u^2 -plane¹⁰, with unit normal vector fields

$$\nu := \frac{p_{,1} \times p_{,2}}{|p_{,1} \times p_{,2}|} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\nu} := \frac{\tilde{p}_{,1} \times \tilde{p}_{,2}}{|\tilde{p}_{,1} \times \tilde{p}_{,2}|},$$

respectively. Then the Gauss frame of p and \tilde{p} are written as

$$\mathcal{F} := (p_{,1}, p_{,2}, \nu), \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\mathcal{F}} := (\tilde{p}_{,1}, \tilde{p}_{,2}, \tilde{\nu}),$$

respectively. Assume the coefficients (g_{ij}) and (h_{ij}) of the first and second fundamental forms are common for p and \tilde{p} . Then \mathcal{F} and $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ satisfy the Gauss-Weingarten equations (4.17)

$$(6.4) \quad \mathcal{F}_{,j} = \mathcal{F} \Omega_j \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{,j} = \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \Omega_j, \quad \text{where} \quad \Omega_j = \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_{1j}^1 & \Gamma_{2j}^1 & -A_j^1 \\ \Gamma_{1j}^2 & \Gamma_{2j}^2 & -A_j^2 \\ h_{1j} & h_{2j} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, for $i = 1, 2$,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u^j} \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{F}^{-1} = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{,j} \mathcal{F}^{-1} + \tilde{\mathcal{F}} (\mathcal{F}^{-1})_{,j} = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{,j} \mathcal{F}^{-1} - \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{F}^{-1} \mathcal{F}_{,j} \mathcal{F}^{-1} = \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \Omega_j \mathcal{F}^{-1} - \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \Omega_j \mathcal{F}^{-1} = O$$

³⁰ May, 2025.

¹⁰ The uniqueness does not require simple connectedness of U .

hold on U . Since we have assumed that U is a domain, U is (arcwise) connected. This implies that $R := \tilde{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ is a constant matrix on U . Moreover, since p and \tilde{p} share their first fundamental forms, it holds that

$$\mathcal{F}^T \mathcal{F} = \begin{pmatrix} p_{,1} \cdot p_{,1} & p_{,1} \cdot p_{,2} & p_{,1} \cdot \nu \\ p_{,2} \cdot p_{,1} & p_{,2} \cdot p_{,2} & p_{,2} \cdot \nu \\ \nu \cdot p_{,1} & \nu \cdot p_{,2} & \nu \cdot \nu \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} & 0 \\ g_{21} & g_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^T \tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{F}^T R^T R \mathcal{F}.$$

Hence $R^T R = \text{id}$, that is, R is an orthogonal matrix. Moreover,

$$\tilde{\nu} = \frac{\tilde{p}_{,1} \times \tilde{p}_{,2}}{|\tilde{p}_{,1} \times \tilde{p}_{,2}|} = R\nu = R \frac{p_{,1} \times p_{,2}}{|p_{,1} \times p_{,2}|}$$

implies $R(p_{,1} \times p_{,2}) = (Rp_{,1}) \times (Rp_{,2})$, hence $\det R = 1$. Summing up, the Gauss frames \mathcal{F} and $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ are related as $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = R\mathcal{F}$ ($R \in \text{SO}(3)$). By the first and second columns of this relation, it holds that

$$d\tilde{p} = \tilde{p}_{,1} du^1 + \tilde{p}_{,2} du^2 = Rp_{,1} du^1 + Rp_{,2} du^2 = R(dp).$$

Hence, by connectivity of U again, $\mathbf{a} := \tilde{p} - Rp$ is a constant vector. \square

6.3 Existence

Next, we show the existence part of Theorem 6.1.

Lemma 6.2. *Let (γ_{ij}) be a positive definite symmetric matrix, that is, γ_{11} and γ_{22} are positive, $\gamma_{11}\gamma_{22} - \gamma_{12}\gamma_{21} > 0$ and $\gamma_{12} = \gamma_{21}$. Then there exists a vectors \mathbf{v}_1 , \mathbf{v}_2 and \mathbf{v}_3 in \mathbb{R}^3 such that*

$$\mathbf{v}_i \cdot \mathbf{v}_j = \gamma_{ij}, \quad \mathbf{v}_3 \cdot \mathbf{v}_j = 0, \quad \mathbf{v}_3 \cdot \mathbf{v}_3 = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \det(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3) > 0$$

hold for $i, j = 1, 2$.

Proof. Let $\theta \in (0, \pi)$ be an angle satisfying $\cos \theta = g_{12}/\sqrt{g_{11}g_{22}} \in (-1, 1) \setminus \{0\}$, and set

$$\mathbf{v}_1 := \sqrt{g_{11}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{v}_2 := \sqrt{g_{22}} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta \\ \sin \theta \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{v}_3 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then \mathbf{v}_1 , \mathbf{v}_2 and \mathbf{v}_3 are desired vectors. \square

Step 1. We fix a point P_0 in U . Then by Lemma 6.2, there exists a matrix \mathcal{F}_0 such that

$$(6.5) \quad \mathcal{F}_0^T \mathcal{F}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} g_{11}(P_0) & g_{12}(P_0) & 0 \\ g_{21}(P_0) & g_{22}(P_0) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since (g_{ij}) and (h_{ij}) satisfy the Gauss and Codazzi equations, Theorem 5.3 implies that the equation (6.4) for unknown matrix-valued function \mathcal{F} . So, by Theorem 3.5, there exists a unique matrix-valued function \mathcal{F} defined on U satisfying

$$(6.6) \quad \mathcal{F}_{,j} = \mathcal{F}\Omega_j, \quad \mathcal{F}(P_0) = \mathcal{F}_0$$

for a matrix \mathcal{F}_0 satisfying (6.5). Decompose the solution \mathcal{F} into column vectors as

$$\mathcal{F}(u^1, u^2) = (\mathbf{a}_1(u^1, u^2), \mathbf{a}_2(u^1, u^2), \mathbf{a}_3(u^1, u^2)).$$

Then it hold that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial u^2}(\mathbf{a}_1) &= \Gamma_{12}^1 \mathbf{a}_1 + \Gamma_{12}^2 \mathbf{a}_2 + h_{12} \mathbf{a}_3, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial u^1}(\mathbf{a}_2) &= \Gamma_{21}^1 \mathbf{a}_1 + \Gamma_{21}^2 \mathbf{a}_2 + h_{21} \mathbf{a}_3,\end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\omega := \mathbf{a}_1 du^1 + \mathbf{a}_2 du^2$$

is a (vector-valued) closed one-form on the simply connected domain U . Hence by Poincaré's lemma (Theorem 1.9), there exists a map $p: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ with $dp = \omega$, that is,

$$(6.7) \quad p_{,1} = \mathbf{a}_1, \quad p_{,2} = \mathbf{a}_2.$$

Step 2. We shall show that p obtained in the previous step is the desired one. Let \mathcal{F} be a solution of (6.6). Then the symmetric matrix-valued function $\mathcal{F}^T \mathcal{F}$ satisfies a system of linear partial differential equations

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}^T \mathcal{F}}{\partial u^j} = \Omega_j^T \mathcal{F}^T \mathcal{F} + \mathcal{F}^T \mathcal{F} \Omega_j, \quad \mathcal{F}^T \mathcal{F}(P_0) = \mathcal{F}_0^T \mathcal{F}_0$$

where \mathcal{F}_0 is a matrix as in (6.5).

On the other hand, consider the matrix-valued function

$$\mathcal{G} := \begin{pmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} & 0 \\ g_{21} & g_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, by (6.3), it holds that

$$(6.8) \quad \mathcal{G}_{,j} = \Omega_j^T \mathcal{G} + \mathcal{G} \Omega_j \quad \mathcal{G}(P_0) = \mathcal{F}_0^T \mathcal{F}_0.$$

Hence $\mathcal{F}^T \mathcal{F}$ and \mathcal{G} satisfy the same system of partial differential equations with the same initial conditions. Thus, the uniqueness of the solution infers $\mathcal{F}^T \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{G}$, that is,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_1 & \mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_2 & \mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_3 \\ \mathbf{a}_2 \cdot \mathbf{a}_1 & \mathbf{a}_2 \cdot \mathbf{a}_2 & \mathbf{a}_2 \cdot \mathbf{a}_3 \\ \mathbf{a}_3 \cdot \mathbf{a}_1 & \mathbf{a}_3 \cdot \mathbf{a}_2 & \mathbf{a}_3 \cdot \mathbf{a}_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} & 0 \\ g_{21} & g_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

So, together with (6.7) and that $\det \mathcal{F} > 0$

$$g_{ij} = p_{,i} \cdot p_{,j}, \quad \nu = \mathbf{a}_3.$$

Then

$$h_{ij} = (\mathbf{a}_i)_{,j} \cdot \nu = p_{,ij} \cdot \nu,$$

that is, the coefficients of the second fundamental form coincides with (h_{ij}) . □

Exercises

- 6-1** Let $\theta: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a C^∞ -function defined on a simply connected domain U of the uv -plane \mathbb{R}^2 . Assuming θ satisfies $\theta_{uv} = \sin \theta$, prove that there exists a surface $p: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ whose first and second fundamental forms are

$$ds^2 = du^2 + 2 \cos \theta \, du \, dv + dv^2, \quad II = 2 \sin \theta \, du \, dv.$$

- 6-2** Let $\sigma: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a C^∞ -function defined on a simply connected domain U of the uv -plane \mathbb{R}^2 . Assuming σ satisfies $\Delta \sigma = -\frac{1}{2} \sinh \sigma$, prove that there exists a surface $p: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ with

$$ds^2 = e^{2\sigma}(du^2 + dv^2), \quad II = \frac{1}{2}((e^{2\sigma} + 1)du^2 + (e^{2\sigma} - 1)dv^2).$$